

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/444,889 | 1 | 1/22/1999 | MICHAEL G. MIKURAK | ANDIP367 | 9216 | |
| 29838 | 7590 | 12/02/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| OPPENHE PLAZA VII. | | OLFF & DONNEI | VAN DOREN, BETH | | | |
| 45 SOUTH | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| MINNEAPO | LIS, MN | 55402-1609 | 3623 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 09/444,889 | MIKURAK, MICHAEL G. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Beth Van Doren | 3623 | | |

| | | • | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | Beth Van Doren | 3623 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff titce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on.· |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as |
| | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| | bet all the early the | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | Will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below); | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co. | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended. | ll be entered and an ϵ | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>18,22,28,32-35</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered a necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s)/ | , |
| | | TARDY PO MAETY | |
| | | ORY PATENT EXAMI | |
| | TECHN | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments change the scope of the claims by adding terms requiring the users to be specific users, these terms not present in the previous claims. Therefore, further consideration is required.